

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,581	09/24/2003	Robert W. Stuckel	11677/01402 (DSC-12)	5637
26116	7590 05/17/2005		EXAMINER	
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP			HAMILTON	I, ISAAC N
717 NORTH SUITE 3400	HARWOOD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	X 75201		3724	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(e)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
1 Office Action Commons	10/669,581	STUCKEL ET AL.					
` Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Isaac N Hamilton	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Apr	<u>oril 2005</u> .						
·—	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-21,27 and 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Claim(s) <u>22-26 and 29-31</u> is/are rejected.							
•	-						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
TI) The battle declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Addon or form 1 TO TOE.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No					
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ed.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the doraned dopled not rederve						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/11/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/669,581

Art Unit: 3724

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 22-26 and 29-31 in the reply filed on 04/14/2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-21 and 27-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention and Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 04/14/2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "said first conveyor" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 22, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Archer (3,650,210) in view of Donahue (5,156,384). Archer discloses supplying a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/669,581

Art Unit: 3724

financial cards 10, 16 individually to a cutting station in column 2, lines 16-20; non-conventional configuration 16 shown in figure 1; credit card in column 2, lines 55-60; hole is inherently punched into card 16. Archer does not disclose transporting the cards away to a delivery station, however, Donahue teaches transporting the cards away via conveyor 20 to delivery station 36. It would have been obvious to provide transporting the cards away to a delivery station in Archer as taught by Donahue in order to stack the cards after cutting.

- 7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Archer and Donahue as applied to claims 22, 30 and 31 above, and further in view of Kline et al (2,430,720), hereafter Kline. The combination discloses everything as noted above, but does not disclose a first conveyor that has a plurality of spaced nests. However, Kline teaches first conveyor in figure 2 that has a plurality of spaced nests 26. It would have been obvious to provide a first conveyor that has a plurality of spaced nests in the combination as taught by Kline in order to automate the process of supplying cards to the cutting station.
- 8. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Archer and Donahue as applied to claims 22, 30 and 31 above, and further in view of Roy et al (5,586,479), hereafter Roy. The combination discloses everything as noted above, but does not disclose a magnetic stripe reader to determine whether the position of the card is proper. However, Roy teaches magnetic stripe reader 58A, 58B to determine whether the position of the card 75 is proper in column 4, lines 30-68.
- 9. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Archer, Donahue and Kline as applied to claims 22, 30 and 31 above, and further in view of Roy. The combination discloses everything as noted above, but does not disclose properly

Application/Control Number: 10/669,581

Art Unit: 3724

locating the card with a cutting device. However, Roy teaches properly locating the card with a cutting device in column 5, lines 19-42. It would have been obvious to provide a method of properly locating the card with a cutting device in the combination as taught by Roy in order to ensure proper cutting of a skewed card.

10. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Archer and Donahue as applied to claims 22, 30 and 31 above, and further in view of Pentz (D462,965). The combination discloses everything as noted above, but does not disclose a non-conventional configuration of a smaller rectangular shape. However, Pentz teaches non-conventional configuration of a smaller rectangular shape in the figures. It would have been obvious to provide a non-conventional configuration of a smaller rectangular shape in Archer as taught by Pentz in order to allow a user to fit the card into rectangular wallet pockets.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 29, 2005

Alian N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700